

● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

FPW

Application : <u>10/049779</u>	Examiner : <u>Nicola</u>	GAU : <u>1742</u>
From : <u>TW</u>	Location : IDC FMF <u>(FDC)</u>	Date : <u>3-11-02</u>

Tracking #: 5924255 Week Date: 4-12-04

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SPEC	<u>6-26-02</u>	

[RUSH] MESSAGE:

The previous XRUSH's dated 5-12-04 and 8-6-04 state that there are no drawings in this file but in the specification dated 6-26-02 Figures 1-3 are referenced. See: Remarks

Page 10 - Line 5
Page 11 - Lines 9 and 15

Please correct

Thank You
TW

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: XRUSH 8/6/04 says that the drawings are disclosed in the spec. and that there's no requirement for a "Brief Description." It does not say there are no drawings. It acknowledges their existence.

A unified brief description is not necessary. Print the specification as is. Follow copy, as the 8/6/04 XRUSH indicated.

The drawings in ^{PP 25-27 of} SPEC 6/26/02 are acceptable. INITIALS: [Signature]

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.